



1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: By the authority  
2 invested in my by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I  
3 now call Docket No. 14-0398. It is the matter of the  
4 Commonwealth Edison Company, and it is a petition for  
5 approval of ComEd's Rider MSS which is a market  
6 settlement service.

7 Will the parties present identify themselves  
8 for the record, please.

9 MR. FOSCO: Sure. Appearing on behalf of  
10 Commonwealth Edison Company, Carmen L. Fosco with  
11 Rooney, Rippie & Ratneswamy, LLP. My address is  
12 350 West Hubbard Street, Suite 600, Chicago, Illinois  
13 60654.

14 MR. FOX: Gerard T. Fox, 203 North LaSalle,  
15 Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60601 appearing on behalf  
16 of the Retail Energy Supply Association. We will be  
17 filing a petition for leave to intervene later today.

18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Since  
19 Mr. Fox intends to file a petition for leave to  
20 intervene, is there any objection to intervention by the  
21 Retail Energy Supply Association?

22 MR. FOSCO: No, your Honor. Commonwealth Edison  
23 Company has no objection.

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. I guess  
25 orally I am granting your petition -- granting leave to

1 intervene. But just so the record is clear, if you can  
2 file one so that we're all on the same page, that would  
3 be great.

4 MR. FOX: Yes.

5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: The parties have  
6 agreed to file a joint agreed order and serve it on me,  
7 I guess. I'm the only person that needs to be served so  
8 far, on or before July 30th, 2014, and I have advised  
9 both parties that if staff or any other party intervenes  
10 or otherwise makes its presence known, I will set a  
11 status hearing as soon as I find that out.

12 Is there anything I should add or change?

13 MR. FOSCO: No, your Honor.

14 The only thing -- Just for clarification, my  
15 understanding is after we submit a joint agreed order --  
16 proposed order -- you will enter a proposed order that  
17 would allow the parties an opportunity for exception.

18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Right. That's a  
19 good point.

20 Just in case, even though apparently the  
21 parties are on the same wave length and they've agreed  
22 to be -- work together on the proposed order -- and I  
23 should make note of this because it's unusual to file a  
24 proposed order when there's no issue.

25 MR. FOSCO: And, your Honor -- And I guess the only

1    thing I want to make clear is I think it's within your  
2    discretion to change with -- you know, you may not --  
3    you may have your own opinions on the language we  
4    submit --

5           ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:  Uh-huh.

6           MR. FOSCO:  -- and if you make no changes, then I  
7    would say there is no need for exceptions.  It's just in  
8    case you feel some changes are necessary that we have an  
9    opportunity to review those.

10          ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:  Oh, sure.  And  
11   in case somebody -- another party intervenes or staff.

12                  So -- Yes.  I'm glad you clarified that  
13   because it doesn't -- I thought it was just entirely to  
14   make sure that there were no inter- -- everybody --

15          MR. FOSCO:  Agreed.  Yeah.  I think well be in  
16   agreement of what we file.

17          ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:  Okay.  It's just  
18   in case I disagree?

19          MR. FOSCO:  Well -- And just to make sure that any  
20   clarifications didn't create some unexpected issues,  
21   which I've had happen in the past sometimes.

22          ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:  Sure.  Sure.  
23   And this is a very complicated --

24          MR. FOSCO:  It's certainly an unusual issue that  
25   this tariff addresses.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: So I will  
2 definitely keep that in mind, and thanks for clarifying.

3 Okay. Anything else?

4 MR. FOSCO: Nothing from ComEd.

5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Have a  
6 good day.

7 Thanks.

8 MR. FOSCO: Thank you, Judge.

9 MR. FOX: Thanks.

10 (Which were all the proceedings had  
11 in the above-entitled cause.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1     STATE OF ILLINOIS     )  
  )   SS.  
2     COUNTY OF COOK     )

3

4                 Traci L. Gidley, being first duly sworn, on  
5     oath says that she is a Certified Shorthand Reporter and  
6     Registered Professional Reporter doing business in the  
7     City of Chicago, County of Cook and the State of  
8     Illinois;

9                 That she reported in shorthand the proceedings  
10    had at the foregoing Hearing;

11                And that the foregoing is a true and correct  
12    transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid  
13    and contains all the proceedings had at the said  
14    Hearing.

15

16

17

---

TRACI L. GIDLEY, CSR, RPR

18

19    CSR No. 084-004643

20

21    SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO  
22    before me this 3rd day of  
23    July, A.D., 2014.

24

25

---

NOTARY PUBLIC

26

27